UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/802,535	03/17/2004	Carl J. Serman	1836-032421	3504	
28289 THE WERR I	7590 03/09/2007 AW FIRM, P.C.	EXAMINER			
700 KOPPERS	BUILDING	KUHNS, ALLAN R			
436 SEVENTI PITTSBURGH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1732		
CHORTENED STATISTOS	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	V MODE	
SHOKIENED STATUTOR	AT FERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAILDATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE	
31 DAYS		03/09/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		•				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/802,535	SERMAN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Allan Kuhns	1732			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apport	oears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (36(a). In no event, however, may a rewill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON a. cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	·					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>_</u> .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application	l .				
·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		·			
6)□	Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7)	· /					
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	cepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received in A	pplication No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been	received in this National Stage			
	application from the International Burea	•	•			
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not	received.			
		·				
Attachmer	nt(s)					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application			
	er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/802,535

Art Unit: 1732

1.Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-12 and 18, drawn to a method of manufacturing a microcellular polyurethane foam, classified in class 264, subclass 51.
- II. Claim 13, drawn to a product, classified in class 521, subclass 50
- III. Claim 14, drawn to a method of making a solid circular tire, classified in class 264, subclass 46.9.
- IV. Claim 15, drawn to a product, classified in class 152, subclass 151.
- V. Claim 16, drawn to a method of making and using a solid circular tire, classified in class 29, subclass 527.1.
- VI. Claim 17, drawn to a product, classified in class 492, subclass 53.
- 2. The inventions are independent or distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the process steps as claimed can be used to form another and materially different product such as one in which the cells formed are larger than those of a microcellular foam.

Inventions III and IV are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process

(MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product structure as claimed, or as imputed from the steps practiced, can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which an article having a shape other than circular is formed and the original shape is subsequently machined to form a circular tire.

Inventions V and VI are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product structure as claimed, or as imputed from the steps practiced, can be made by another and materially different process such as one in which an elastomer is molded around a steel roller and the curative component and di-isocyanate mixture are then molded around the elastomer.

The inventions of Groups I and II are distinct from each of the inventions of Groups III-VI because the latter groups do not require the formation of a microcellular structure.

The inventions of Groups III and IV are distinct from each of the inventions of Groups V and VI at least for the reason that the former groups do not require the presence of the elastomer component to form a portion of the structure.

3.Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art requiring divergent

Application/Control Number: 10/802,535

Art Unit: 1732

fields of search for the respective inventions, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4.Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

5.Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allan Kuhns whose telephone number is (571) 272-1202. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson, can be reached on (571) 272-1176. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/802,535 Page 5

Art Unit: 1732

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

allan R. Kuhns PRIMARY EXAMINER AU 1732

3-5-07